


329-1



CRM-M-28144-2024

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-28144-2024
Date of decision: 11.07.2024

KULEEP SINGH ALIAS ROSHI AND ORSPetitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORSRespondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Ritesh Kumar, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Adesh Pal Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Naresh Paul Chander, Advocate
for respondents No.2 and 3.

.....

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

Copy of the receipt produced.

2. Heard.
3. By way of present petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, petitioner seeks quashing of DDR No.031 dated 19.01.2024 under Sections 323, 324, 325, 34 IPC registered at Police Station Majitha, District Amritsar Rural (Annexure P-2) in case FIR (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 22.04.2024 (Annexure P-3) executed between the parties. Details of the FIR are as under:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
204	30.11.2023	323, 324, 325, 34 IPC	Majitha, District Amritsar Rural



4. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise dated 22.04.2024 (Annexure P-3), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. Civil Judge, Junior Division-cum-Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Amritsar, vide report dated 13.06.2024, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure. In compliance to the order dated 30.05.2024, an amount of Rs.10,000/- has been deposited with the Society for the Care of the Blind, Chandigarh and receipt thereof is annexed with the report.

5. Respondents No. 2 and 3 are represented by their counsel and does not oppose the compromise.

6. In view of the report of the Civil Judge, Junior Division-cum-Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Amritsar, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in "*Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another*", 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and "*Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another*", (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/ accused persons.

7. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. DDR No. 031 dated 19.01.2024, under Sections 323, 324, 325, 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Majitha, District Amritsar Rural

329-1

2024.PHHC:088474



CRM-M-28144-2024

-3-

(Annexure P-2) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioner.

11.07.2024
puneet

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |