



CWP-12962-2024

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-12962-2024
Date of decision : 28.05.2024

Nimisha

... Petitioner

Versus

Secretary, Sainik School Society and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr.Paramjit Singh Jammu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashish Rawal, Advocate
for respondents no.1, 2 and 4.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. This is a civil writ petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to allow the petitioner to participate in the third round of counselling for admission in Sainik School, Kunjpura-respondent no.3.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that for the grievances raised by the petitioner, the petitioner had given a representation dated 25.04.2024 (Annexure P-10) and at this stage, would be satisfied in case the representation is considered by the competent authority of Sainik School Society, in a time bound manner and if after considering the same, in case, the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious, then



CWP-12962-2024 2

necessary relief be granted to the petitioner.

3. Learned counsel for respondents no.1, 2 and 4 has submitted that the competent authority of Sainik School Society would consider the said representation and decide the same within a period of two weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of the present order.

4. Keeping in view the above said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority of Sainik School Society to consider the representation dated 25.04.2024 (Annexure P-10) of the petitioner and decide the same, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of two weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of the present order and in case, after considering the same, the pleas of the petitioner are found to be meritorious, then necessary relief be granted to the petitioner and in case, the pleas of the petitioner are not found to be meritorious, then a speaking order rejecting the same be passed within a period of two weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of the present order.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the competent authority of Sainik School Society would consider and decide the representation independently, in accordance with law.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

May 28, 2024.
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No