



CWP-12986-2024

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-12986-2024

Date of decision : 28.05.2024

Skylark Public School

... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr.Pankaj Maini, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms.Palika Monga, DAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. This is a civil writ petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari to set aside the orders dated 15.02.2024 and 16.02.2024 (Annexure P-10) in terms of clarificatory letter issued by concerned education officials on 26.04.2024 (Annexure P-11) vide which the relaxation was granted to the private unaided schools by allowing them to admit the students but the same relief has not been granted to the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that for the grievances raised by the petitioner, the petitioner had given a representation dated 25.05.2024 (Annexure P-9) and at this stage, would be satisfied in case the representation is considered by respondent no.2 in a time bound



CWP-12986-2024

2

manner and if after considering the same, in case, the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious, then necessary relief be granted to the petitioner.

3. Learned State counsel has submitted that respondent no.2 would consider the said representation and decide the same within a period of three weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of the present order.

4. Keeping in view the above said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent no.2 to consider the representation dated 25.05.2024 (Annexure P-9) of the petitioner and decide the same, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of three weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of the present order and in case, after considering the same, the pleas of the petitioner are found to be meritorious, then necessary relief be granted to the petitioner and in case, the pleas of the petitioner are not found to be meritorious, then a speaking order rejecting the same be passed within a period of three weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of the present order.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and respondent no.2 would consider and decide the representation independently, in accordance with law.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

May 28, 2024
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No