



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

127

CWP-12975-2024

Date of decision: 28.05.2024

AJAY KUMAR

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present:- Mr. Prateek Pandit, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Harpriya Khaneka, DAG. Punjab.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the present writ petition is for seeking issuance of directions to respondent No.3 and 4 to issue 'Police Clearance Certificate.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner contends that an FIR No. 111 dated 25.11.2022 registered under Section 323, 452, 427, 506, 148 and 149 of the IPC at Police Station Fattu DHINGA, District Kapurthala was falsely registered against the petitioner and other co-accused and he was wrongly implicated in the said FIR. The parties in the abovesaid FIR had amicably settled the dispute and arrived at compromise and the parties had also approached this Court by filing quashing petition bearing CRM-M-6140 of 2024 wherein the parties were directed to record their statement before the Illaqa Magistrate. The petitioner had applied for work VISA to United



CWP-12975-2024

-2-

Kingdom under the Youth Mobility Scheme initiated by Government of United Kingdom and for the said purpose he requires Police Clearance Certificate (PCC).

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, however, contends that he would be satisfied at this juncture in case the respondent-authorities are directed to examine the claim of the petitioner and to take appropriate steps with respect to issuance of the 'Police Clearance Certificate'.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Harpriya Khaneka, DAG. Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and prays for time to seek instructions and file reply, if any.

In view of the aforesaid, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3-Senior Superintendent of Police, Kapurthala to examine the claim of the petitioner for issuance of the 'Police Clearance Certificate' in light of the facts noticed above and as directed by this Court in its order dated 13.09.2023 passed in CWP-19314-2020 titled as “*Balwinder Singh versus Union of India and others*” within a period of 10 days of the receipt of certified copy of this order.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

MAY 28, 2024

Vishal sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No