

232

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-14747-2022 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 15.02.2024

VIRENDER SINGH...Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS...Respondents

2. CWP-18728-2020 (O&M)

VIRENDER SINGH...Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS...Respondents

3. CWP-14814-2021 (O&M)

VIRENDER SINGH...Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS...Respondents

4. CWP-23288-2021 (O&M)

VIRENDER SINGH...Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. D.S. Patwalia, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Kannan Malik, Advocate
for the petitioner in CWP-14747-2022.

Mr. Shalender Mohan, Advocate
for the petitioner in CWP-14814 & 23288-2021
CWP-18728-2020.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Senior DAG, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

1. The bunch of 04 petitions has been disposed of by common order. The facts have been taken from CWP No. 14747 of 2022.
2. In the present bunch of petitions, the grievance of the present

petitioners is that action has been taken against them on the ground that keeping in view the participation while discharging the duties in the course of service career, certain irregularities had come to the notice of the Department where, the payments under MNREGA Scheme were made to the ineligible persons which amounted to the embezzlement of the amount in question.

3. Learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner in CWP-14747-2022 submits that though in the impugned order dated 31.05.2022 Annexure P-32, while terminating the services of the petitioner, certain factual averments have been made that the payments in question were made to the unauthorized persons keeping in view the recommendations made by the petitioners to the higher authorities but the said fact is incorrect and that is why the petitioner had immediately filed the representations for reinstatement to the Director Executive Officer-cum-Chief Executive Officer, District Rural Development Agency, Hisar dated 10.06.2021 (Annexure P-16) to look into all the aspects and thereafter decide whether the order dated 31.05.2022 passed by the Chief Executive Officer, DRDA, Hisar is to be upheld or set aside. Learned senior counsel submits that even as of now, no decision has been taken by the Director and the petitioners will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the representation dated 10.06.2021 (Annexure P-16) by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

4. Learned State counsel submits that in case, the representations have been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be

decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order by keeping in mind the recommendations which were made by the Chief Executive Director, and in case, representation of the petitioners is accepted, appropriate relief will be extended to them within a further period of four weeks, otherwise due reasons for not accepting the representation will be mentioned in the speaking order for the information and necessary action of the petitioners.

5. Learned counsels for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petitions may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.
6. Ordered accordingly.
7. A photocopy of the order be placed on the files of other connected cases.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

(JUDGE)

15.02.2024

kv

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No