

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.16019-2012

Reserved On: 05.03.2024

Date of Decision: 19.03.2024

Mahender and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LALIT BATRA

Argued by: Mr. Anupam Gupta, Senior Advocate (Amicus Curiae) with
Mr. Sukhpal Singh, Advocate.

None for the petitioner(s)

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. A.G., Haryana with
Mr. Pardeep Prakash Chahar, Sr. DAG, Haryana,
Mr. Saurabh Mago, DAG, Haryana,
Ms. Kushaldeep Kaur, Advocate and
Mr. Shivam Garg, Advocate.

Ms. Kamaldeep Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Adarsh Jain, Advocate
for respondent No. 15.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J.

CM No.13146-2019

1. It is averred in the instant application, that during the pendency of the instant petition, before this Court, the demise of respondent No.15 has occurred. The deceased respondent concerned, is averred in the application, to be succeeded by his legal representatives, as enumerated in paragraph No.3 of the application. It is further averred that for the continuation of the instant writ petition, the substitution of the legal heirs of the deceased respondent, is imperative.
2. Therefore, the prayer made in the application for the substitution of the deceased respondent No.15, being made by his LRs is accepted.

CWP No.16019-2012

-2-

3. Accordingly, the application stands allowed. Amended memo of parties is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at the appropriate place.

Main case

For orders, see detailed judgement of even date, passed in *CWP No.13864-2012*, titled *Sushil Kumar and others Vs. State of Haryana and others*.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

(LALIT BATRA)
JUDGE

March 19, 2024
raman

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No