



302

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-8359-2008 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 30.08.2024

Dr. Ratna Dahiya Petitioner
Versus

National Institute of Technology, Kurukshetra and another Respondents

CWP-7092-2008 (O&M)

Dr. Anupam Mittal Petitioner
Versus

Board of Governors, NIT, Kurukshetra and others Respondents

CWP-8372-2008 (O&M)

Dinesh Khanduja Petitioner
Versus

National Institute of Technology, Kurukshetra and another Respondents

CWP-14242-2008 (O&M)

Dr. Subodh Ranjan Vajesnayee Petitioner
Versus

National Institute of Technology, Kurukshetra and another Respondents

CWP-15591-2008 (O&M)

Dr. Dharam Pal Singh Petitioner
Versus

National Institute of Technology, Kurukshetra and another Respondents

CWP-7966-2008 (O&M)

Dr. Meenu Gupta Petitioner
Versus

National Institute of Technology, Kurukshetra and another Respondents

CWP-15624-2008 (O&M)

Dr. Dinesh Kumar Petitioner
Versus

National Institute of Technology, Kurukshetra and others Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI**

Present: Mr. Anurag Goyal, Advocate for the petitioner
in CWP-14242-2008.

Mr. Varun Sandhu, Advocate for the petitioner
in CWP-7092-2008.

Ms. Vidushi Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner
in CWP-15591 & 15624-2008.

Mr. Abhishek Shukla, Advocate for the petitioner
in CWP-7966-2008.

None for the petitioner in CWP-8539 & 8372-2008.

Mr. Vikas Thakur, Advocate
for the respondents in CWP-7966, 15591, 15624-2008.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (ORAL)

1. At the outset, Mr. Vikas Thakur, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents No.1 & 2 in some of the cases has submitted that he has sought instructions to state that all the petitioners have already been promoted during the pendency of the present petition and rather they have also retired and therefore, nothing survives in the present petitions.

2. Learned counsels appearing on behalf of the petitioners submitted that in view of the aforesaid statement made by the learned counsel for the respondents, the present petition may be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

3. In view of the above, all the petitions are disposed of as having become infructuous.

4. However, liberty is granted to the petitioners, who are unrepresented today, that in case any cause of action still survives in the present petitions, then they may file appropriate application(s) for revival of the same before this Court .



5. Since the present petitions have been disposed of, all the pending applications, if any, shall also stand disposed of.

30.08.2024

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)

JUDGE

Bhumika

1. Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No
2. Whether reportable:

Yes/No