

2024:PHHC:145372



148

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

COC-1965-2024 (O&M)

Decided on:-07.11.2024

Raghav Aggarwal

...Petitioner..

VS.

S.K.Mishra, Project Director, NHAI and anr.

...Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Satbir Rathore, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rishi Kaushal, Advocate with
Mr. Bhupender Singh, Advocate,
for respondent No.1-NHAI.

Mr. Gunjan Mehta, Addl. A.G., Punjab,
for respondent No.2.

HARKESH MANUJA J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for respondent No.1-NHAI submits that there being no dispute regarding calculation, the amount has been deposited with Competent Authority for Land Acquisition (CALA).

In terms thereof, learned State counsel states that the payment due to the petitioner shall be released in his favour within a period of two weeks from today.

2. In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioner does not want to press the present petition.

3. Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed being not pressed. Rule stands discharged.

4. However, in case the needful is not done within the afore-stated period, as per undertaking, the petitioner would be at liberty to seek revival of the present contempt petition and in that eventuality, the erring /concerned officer(s) would be liable to pay additional sum of Rs.50,000/- as costs from his/her own pocket in favour of the petitioner towards litigation expenses, immediately, i.e. on the first date of listing of revival application.

07.11.2024
sonika

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/ No

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE