106 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-13925-2024
Date of decision: 20.08.2024

SURINDERJIT SINGH AND ORS. ...PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS. ...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. Lajwant Singh Virk, Advocate for the petitioners.

****

NAMIT KUMAR ,J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioners under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking a writ of mandamus directing the respondents to revise and re-fix the pay of the petitioners w.e.f. 01.01.2016 as per 6th Punjab Pay Commission with all consequential benefits along with interest @ 12% per annum.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners, at the very outset, confines his prayer for deciding legal notice dated 11.03.2024 (Annexure P-4). He submits that at this stage, the petitioners would be satisfied, if the said legal notice is decided by passing a speaking order within a time bound frame.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Rajesh Sehgal, Addl. A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1 and has no objection to the innocuous prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record of the case.

6. Without going into the merits of the case at this stage, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.5, to decide legal notice dated 11.03.2024 (Annexure P-4), submitted by the petitioners by passing a speaking order, preferably within a period of 03 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

20.08.2024 **(NAMIT KUMAR)**
renubala **JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No

Renu Bala
2024.08.20 18:29
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document