

CWP-13494-2024

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(129)

CWP-13494-2024

Date of decision: - 30.05.2024

Sandeep Kaur

....Petitioner

Versus

Institute of Nursing and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Rahi Mehra, Advocate, for the petitioner.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent Nos.1 and 2 to forthwith release the original certificates retained by them, which were deposited at the time of admission, in light of the unforeseen events and circumstances.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that for the grievance raised by the petitioner, the petitioner had given a legal notice dated 16.04.2024 (Annexure P-4) and at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied, in case, the competent authority of respondent No.1-Institute considers the same, in accordance with law, within a specified time frame and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious, then, grant the appropriate relief to the petitioner.

3. Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances, the

present petition is disposed of, with a direction to the competent authority of respondent No.1-Institute to consider the legal notice dated 16.04.2024 (Annexure P-4) filed by the petitioner within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order and in case, the competent authority of respondent No.1-Institute is of the view that the pleas raised by the petitioner are meritorious, then, the appropriate relief be granted to the petitioner, as expeditiously as possible. In case, the competent authority of respondent No.1-Institute is of the view that the pleas raised by the petitioner are meritless, then, a speaking order rejecting the claim be passed within the aforesaid period of eight weeks.

4. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the competent authority of respondent No.1-Institute would consider and decide the matter independently, in accordance with law.

May 30, 2024
naresh.k

(**VIKAS BAHL**)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No