



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Civil Revision No.3314 of 2024
Date of Decision: 29.05.2024

Smt. Raj Rani
...Revisionist-Petitioner
Versus
Gaurav Goyal & Others
...Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present:- Mr. Sushant Gupta, Advocate,
for the revisionist-petitioner.

* * * *

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (ORAL)

By filing the instant revision-petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner-plaintiff (here-in-after to be referred as ‘the petitioner’) has sought the indulgence of this Court for the issuance of direction to learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Panchkula (for short ‘the trial Court’), to expedite and conclude the proceedings in *Civil Suit No.86 of 2020* titled as ‘*Smt. Raj Rani vs. Gaurav Goyal and others*’ within some specific time frame, while averring that she is 74 years old and had filed the above-referred Suit in February, 2020 but the same has not yet been decided.

2. It is worth-while to mention here that at the time of assisting the Court at the preliminary stage in the present revision-petition, learned counsel for the petitioner has restricted his prayer to the issuance of the direction to the trial Court to decide the afore-mentioned Civil Suit at the earliest possible.



3. Keeping in view the above-discussed limited prayer as made by learned counsel for the petitioner and also the facts that the petitioner happens to be a Senior Citizen and the afore-said Suit is pending adjudication for the last more than four (04) years and without commenting or expressing any opinion on the merits thereof, the revision-petition in hand is, hereby, disposed of with the direction to the trial Court concerned to conclude the proceedings in the Civil Suit under reference and to decide the same, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible.

May 29, 2024
seema

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes*
Whether Reportable: *No*