



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No.5052 of 2024
Date of decision: 30th May, 2024

Amit

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Afzal Hussain, Advocate for the petitioner.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus seeking directions to the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of private respondents.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that he would restrict his prayer and will be satisfied if appropriate directions are issued to decide the representation dated 10.05.2024 (Annexure P-4) expeditiously.

3. On the oral request of learned counsel for the petitioner, 'Superintendent of Police, Sonipat' is impleaded as respondent No.2 instead of 'Commissioner of Police, Sonipat'. Registry is directed to make necessary correction in the paper book.

4. Notice of motion to the official respondents.



5. At the asking of Court, Ms. Deepshikha Chauhan, Astt. AG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the said respondents.

6. In view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 – Superintendent of Police, Sonipat to look into the representation dated 10.05.2024 (Annexure P-4) and take necessary steps, if any required, in accordance with law at the earliest, to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioner is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

May 30, 2024
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No