



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-12860-2024
Date of Decision: 28.05.2024

ATTAR SINGH

...Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present: Mr. S.K. Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioner.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed, *inter alia*, seeking a writ of *certiotari* quashing the impugned action of the respondents in not granting the benefit of annual increment in the last year of retirement.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner had completed one year satisfactory service prior to his retirement, and became entitled to one annual increment on that basis. The law in this regard stands settled by the Supreme Court in *The Director (Admn. and HR) KPTCL and others v. C.P.Mundinamani and others*, 2023 SCC Online 401. Still, the petitioner's claim has not been considered, nor has his legal notice, dated 25.10.2023, Annexure P-4, been decided so far.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Ms. Tanushree Gupta, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice and submits that the pending legal notice, dated 25.10.2023, will be decided by respondent No.2 expeditiously keeping in view the law laid down in *C.P.Mundinamani* case (*supra*).

5. In view thereof, without going into the merits of the case, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the pending legal notice, dated 25.10.2023, in the light of the judgment mentioned above by passing a speaking order thereupon, within a period of three months from receiving a certified copy of this order.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

28.05.2024
kv

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No