



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-27316-2024

Date of Decision:- 15.10.2024

KULJINDER KAUR ALIAS KULJINDER KAUR GREWAL

...Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. S.P.S. Aulakh, Advocate for petitioner.

Mr. Kewal Singh, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

Mr. Piyush Sharma, Advocate for the complainant.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.

1. Petitioner Kuljinder Kaur @ Kuljinder Kaur Grewal has filed instant petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.71 dated 08.05.2024 under Sections 406 and 420 of IPC registered at Police Station Sadar Jagroan, Police District Ludhiana Rural.

2. As per facts of the case, FIR has been registered on the written complaint filed by Pawandeep Singh Dhillon. It is alleged that complainant agreed to purchase land 58 kanals 10 marlas in village Gagra through Paras Money, Real Rater Private Limited, Ludhiana from Harjit Singh and power of attorney Kuljinder Kaur and Amaninder Singh. As per this agreement, payment was made through cheque to the tune of Rs.19 lakhs along with amount of Rs.1,01,000/-. Again Rs.15 lakhs were paid on 20.05.2022. Date fixed for Registry was 20.03.2023. On request of Harjit Singh, another sum

of Rs.35 lakhs were paid to him on 13.05.2022. Date fixed for Registry

was till 05.03.2024. Despite request Harjit Singh failed to execute the sale deed and on the date fixed he did not appear in the office of Sub Registrar, Jagraon. Thereafter, he was requested to return the money but he failed. Thereafter, present FIR was registered.

3. Learned counsel for petitioner argued that matter has been settled between parties and copy of compromise arrived at between them is placed on record as Annexure P-5. Present petitioner is ready to join the investigation.

4. Bail application is initially opposed by learned counsel representing State. However, in view of compromise arrived at between the parties bail application is not opposed. Said compromise is also confirmed by learned counsel for complainant.

5. Considering the aforesaid factual position, no purpose would be served by sending petitioner behind the bars. Petitioner is still ready to join the investigation as and when required. Therefore, anticipatory bail application filed by present petitioner is allowed. In case petitioner is arrested, he be released on bail to the satisfaction of Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer subject to the condition that petitioner will join investigation as and when required. She will not tamper with or interfere with the investigation and will not leave the country without prior permission as provided under Section 438 (2) of Cr.P.C.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

15.10.2024
snd

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No.
Whether reportable: Yes/No