

CRM-M-27532-2024
Date of decision: 09.07.2024

...PETITIONERS

STATE OF UT CHANDIGARH AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (ORAL)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.69 dated 19.10.2020 under Sections 406/498-A/494 of IPC registered at PS Women Police Station, District Chandigarh (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise/settlement agreement dated 14.05.2024 (Annexure P-2).
2. The following order was passed on 28.05.2024 :-

“This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.69 dated 19.10.2020 under Sections 406/498-A/494 of IPC registered at PS Women Police Station, District Chandigarh (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise/settlement agreement dated 4.05.2024 (Annexure P-2).

Notice of motion for 09.07.2024.

At this stage, on the asking of the Court, Mr. Manish Bansal, P.P., U.T. Chandigarh accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State and Mr. Anil Kumar Sharma, Advocate



accepts notice for respondent No.2 and files his power of attorney and admits to the factum of compromise. Copy of the paper book be supplied to them during the course of day.

Service is complete.

In the meanwhile, the parties are directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate within four weeks from today or any other date convenient to the trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate, to get their statements recorded regarding compromise and after recording their statements, learned trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate is directed to send report regarding the genuineness of compromise and also to intimate whether any PO proceedings are pending against any of the party on or before the date fixed i.e. 09.07.2024.

A copy of the order be sent to learned trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate through fax for compliance.”

3. In compliance of the above order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.
4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in *Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466, Ramgopal and another Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh 2021 SCC OnLine SC 834* and *Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63* and Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (Crl.) 1052*, this petition is allowed and FIR No.69 dated 19.10.2020 under Sections 406/498-A/494 of IPC registered at PS Women Police Station, District Chandigarh (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising out of the same are quashed, qua the petitioner.

July 09, 2024
manisha

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

- (i)

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No
- (ii)

Whether reportable

Yes/No