



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-27922-2024

DECIDED ON: 29.05.2024

POONAM SINGH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Raj Kumar Malik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking direction to respondents No.2 to 3 to conduct fair and impartial investigation in FIR No.347, dated 10.11.2023, under Sections 406, 420, 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Dera Bassi, District SAS Nagar but till date the police did not proceed further except to registration of FIR.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that respondents No.4 & 5 had defrauded the petitioner and her husband for a sum of Rs.9,50,000/- on the pretext of selling them the land but despite having purchased the stamp papers, respondent No.5 misrepresented herself to be daughter of owner of plot No.961 and usurped the amount of Rs.9,50,000/-.

The instant FIR was got registered only after filing of the complaint under Section 156(3) Cr.P.C. whereby a direction was issued to

SSP, SAS Nagar, Mohali but no action has been taken since 10.11.2023 except registration of the instant FIR against the accused persons.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Jasjit Singh Rattu, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and on instructions from ASI Surinder Singh informs the Court that the complainant-petitioner was called twice to furnish further details qua the amount alleged in the instant FIR and the mode in which the said payment was made to the accused persons but she has not turned up to assist him in the investigation.

However, on concern of this Court as to why the investigation in the FIR, which was registered on 10.11.2023, has not been concluded by the Investigating Agency.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Surinder Singh undertakes before this Court that the investigation will be concluded within a period of four weeks from today and accordingly, the outcome of the same will be presented to the trial Court in the next three weeks thereafter.

In the light of undertaking given before this Court by learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Surinder Singh, learned counsel for the petitioner does not want to press the instant petition any further.

Disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

29.05.2024
Poonam Negi

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>