



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

216

CRM-M-27347-2024

Date of decision: 24.10.2024

Lachhman Jit Singh Purba @ Lachmanjit Singh @ Purba

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Lovish Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Jasdeep Singh Gill, Addl.A.G., Punjab.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.63 dated 10.05.2024 under Sections 420 and 120-B IPC, 1860 registered at Police Station Kot Ise Khan, District Moga.

2. On 16.07.2024, while noticing the following submissions made by the learned State counsel, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked him to join investigation:-

“Learned State counsel has not disputed that the petitioner is not involved in any other criminal case much less a case of a similar nature; it has also not been disputed that the role attributed to the petitioner is of having introduced the complainant to co-accused. However, learned State counsel has asserted that the petitioner was the mastermind behind the crime who had assured the complainant that in case the co-accused was given money, he would be in a position to procure a government job for the children of the complainant. On a pointed query put to the learned State counsel as to



CRM-M-27347-2024

-2-

whether any amount had been deposited into the account of the petitioner or any cash had been handed over, he on instructions has replied in the negative.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of order dated 16.07.2024, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions, submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 16.07.2024, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 482(2) of the BNSS/438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

24.10.2024

Vinay

**(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No