

2024:PHHC:145320



143-b      **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**COC-1896-2024 (O&M)**  
**Decided on:-07.11.2024**

Ravinder Singh      ...Petitioner..

vs.

S.K.Mishra, Project Director, NHAI and anr.      ...Respondents.

**CORAM:   HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA**

Present:      Mr. Satbir Rathore, Advocate,  
                         for the petitioner.

                         Mr. Rishi Kaushal, Advocate with  
                         Mr. Bhupender Singh, Advocate,  
                         for respondent No.1-NHAI.

                         Mr. Gunjan Mehta, Addl. A.G., Punjab,  
                         for respondent No.2.

\*\*\*\*\*

**HARKESH MANUJA J. (Oral)**

1.              Learned counsel for respondent No.1-NHAI submits that there  
being no dispute regarding calculation, the amount has been deposited with  
Competent Authority for Land Acquisition (CALA).

                         In terms thereof, learned State counsel states that the payment  
due to the petitioner shall be released in his favour within a period of two  
weeks from today.

2.              In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioner does not  
want to press the present petition.

3.              Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed being not  
pressed. Rule stands discharged.

4.               However, in case the needful is not done within the afore-stated period, as per undertaking, the petitioner would be at liberty to seek revival of the present contempt petition and in that eventuality, the erring /concerned officer(s) would be liable to pay additional sum of Rs.50,000/- as costs from his/her own pocket in favour of the petitioner towards litigation expenses, immediately, i.e. on the first date of listing of revival application.

07.11.2024

sonika

Whether speaking/reasoned:  
Whether reportable:

Yes/No  
Yes/ No

(HARKESH MANUJA)  
JUDGE