

2024:PHHC:079265



208 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-27311-2024
Decided on:-31.05.2024

Bunty Singh @ Pinda @ BuntiPetitioner..

vs.

State of PunjabRespondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Sumeet Puri, Advocate for the petitioner.
(joined through Hybrid mode)

Mr. Siddharth Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.

HARKESH MANUJA J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner, by way of present petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C., seeks grant of regular bail pending trial in case bearing FIR No.10 dated 07.02.2024 registered under Sections 323, 341, 325, 506, 148, 149 & 201 of IPC (Section 307 of IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Sadar Sangrur, District Sangrur, whereby, the petitioner has been implicated along with other accomplices with the allegations of having caused injuries on the person of complainant-Amritpal Singh with an intention to kill him.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody for the past about 3½ months and has been falsely implicated in the present case; thus, prayer is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner.

3. On the other hand, learned State Counsel vehemently opposes

the prayer made on behalf of the petitioner, while referring to the injuries inflicted upon the person of complainant.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and gone through the paper-book. I find substance in the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. As per learned State Counsel, instructed from the quarter concerned, the investigation qua the petitioner in the present FIR stands over and no specific or categoric injury has been attributed to the petitioner, who is behind the bars for the past more than 3½ months, while the injured got discharged from the hospital. Moreover, pre-arrest bail granted to the similarly placed co-accused-Gurpreet Singh and Bunty Singh alias Kurnaig have already been confirmed by this Court vide order of even date passed in CRM-M-12566-2024 & CRM-M-14691-2024 respectively. Also, co-accused, Jarnail Singh @ Mintu @ Bullar, has already been granted the concession of regular bail by this Court vide order dated 26.04.2024 (Annexure P-3) passed in CRM-M-19098-2024. Considering the aforesaid facts, this Court does not find justification to extend the incarceration of the petitioner any further.

6. In view of the above, but without commenting upon merits of the present petition, the same is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing adequate bail bonds / surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Trial Court/Illaq Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

7 It is made clear that this order may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

31.05.2024

sonika

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/ No