



CWP-15455-2019

-1-

253

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-15455-2019
Date of Decision: 14.11.2024**

Satish Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present: Ms. Sweta, Advocate
for the petitioner.


Ms. Dimple Jain, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Gaurav Jindal, Advocate,
for respondents No.2 & 3.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (ORAL)

1. Yesterday, when the matter was taken up, it was argued at length and Mr. Gaurav Jindal, learned counsel for respondents No.2 & 3 had prayed for one day accommodation to seek instructions from the concerned Officer/Chief Engineer.

2. Today, Mr. Gaurav Jindal, learned counsel for respondents No.2 & 3 has stated on instructions that it has been decided to review the impugned order dated 28.03.2019 (Annexure P-11) by which the petitioner was directed to be retired at the age of 58 years and he has also instructions to state that although the petitioner has crossed the age of 60 years but since he was entitled to be retired at the age of 60 years under The Persons with Disabilities (Equal Opportunities, Protection of Rights and Full Participation) Act, 1995, now the date of the retirement of the petitioner will



be 60 years and a specific order will be passed in this regard and the petitioner will be granted all the consequential benefits including all the monetary benefits pertaining to pay as well.

- 3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that in view of the aforesaid statement made by the learned counsel for respondents No.2 & 3, the present petition may be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.
- 4. Disposed of as having become infructuous.
- 5. However, there shall be no order as to costs.

14.11.2024

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)

Bhumika

JUDGE

- 1. Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
- 2. Whether reportable: Yes/No