



101+209

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH****CRM-38098-2024 in/and
CRM-M-27329-2024
Date of Decision: 23.09.2024**

Gursahib Singh Sandhu

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat**Present : Mr. Umaid Singh Mann, Advocate, for the petitioner.****Mr. I.P.S. Sabharwal, DAG, Punjab.**

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)**CRM-38098-2024**

1. Application is allowed as prayed for, subject to just exceptions.

CRM-M-27329-2024

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. with a prayer to grant anticipatory bail to him in case FIR No.0012, dated 13.04.2024, registered under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of IPC, at Police Station NRI, District Bathinda, Punjab.

2. While granting the concession of interim anticipatory bail, a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court had noticed the following contentions:-

“Petitioner is the grandson of the brother of the complainant. Learned counsel for the petitioner has drawn attention towards the fact that complainant Jasbir Singh made a complaint in 2024 to the police alleging that he had earlier made a complaint on 20.05.2021 against Gursahib Singh Sandhu (petitioner) and his father.



The allegation is that Baldev Singh and others had taken a loan of `18 lacs on the land of the complainant from Punjab and Sind Bank, Malout in 2017.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that it is Amrik Singh, who had taken the loan on the land of the complainant, which he had paid in 2018. Learned counsel has drawn attention towards the affidavit of Amrik Singh (Annexure P-4) in this regard. Learned counsel further contends that the entire case is based upon documentary evidence; and that the petitioner is ready to join the investigation. ”

3. During the course of arguments today, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has reiterated the above submissions. Apart from that, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that on 20.08.2024, the petitioner had made a video on his mobile phone and had forwarded the same on a whatsapp group namely, “Ghagga News and Baba Kala Mehar Sandhu Ji”, wherein the petitioner had expressed his frustration. In fact, the petitioner has tendered unconditional apology for uploading such a video in the whatsapp group. He further submits that in future also, the petitioner will not upload any video or post on any social media platform relating to the present controversy. He has further assured the Court that he will not try to influence or contact the prosecution witnesses and shall not tamper with the evidence in any manner.

4. Learned State counsel submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no longer required for further investigation.

5. In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the parties, the present petition is allowed and the interim order dated 28.05.2024, passed by this Court is made absolute. The petitioner shall continue to join

investigation, as and when called by the Investigating Officer and shall also abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

23.09.2024.
hemlata

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No