



217

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-11494-2013

Date of Decision: 11.09.2024

Jeet Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Superintending Canal Officer and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSH BUNGER

Present: Mr. Gurpreet S. Brar, Advocate for
Mr. Himmat S. Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Nirmaljit Singh Diwana, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. J.S. Brar, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

HARSH BUNGER J. (ORAL)

1. The instant writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of writ in the nature of *Certiorari*, for setting aside the impugned order dated 12.07.2012 (Annexure P-9), passed by the Superintending Canal Officer, Ludhiana (respondent No.1).
2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner pleads no instructions from the petitioner and submits that despite his best efforts, he could not even locate the petitioner.
3. Keeping in view the aforesaid submissions of learned counsel for the petitioner, the present writ petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.
4. All pending application(s), if any, shall also stand closed.

11.09.2024

Pd

**(HARSH BUNGER)
JUDGE**