

2024:PHHC:051479
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

227

COCp-1283-2022

Date of decision: 16.04.2024

RAVINDER SINGH

...Petitioner

Versus

GEETA BHARTI AND ORS

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present:- Mr. Pardeep Solath, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Aggarwal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Bhupinder Malik, Advocate,
for respondent No.4.

RAJBIR SEHRAWAT, J. (Oral)

1. This is a contempt petition filed under Section 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, for punishing the respondents for disobeying the order dated 11.05.2022 passed in RSA-1003-2022.

2. Reply by way of affidavit of Shri Chand, Prabandhak, the Alikan Primary Agriculture & Cooperative Society Ltd., filed in the Registry, is taken on record.

3. The present petition has been filed alleging the contempt qua the interim order dated 11.05.2022 passed in RSA-1003-2022. However, it is not in dispute that now, the said RSA has already been decided against the petitioner, vide order dated 14.11.2023. Therefore, the present petition does not survive any more.

4. Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has actually performed the duties till the aforesaid RSA was dismissed and, therefore, he is entitled to receive the salary for that period, as well.

5. However, counsel for respondent No.4 has disputed the fact that the petitioner has ever worked for the period qua which he is claiming the salary.
6. In view of the above, it is not appropriate for this Court to entertain the disputed questions of fact in the contempt proceedings. Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed.
7. However, if any grievance of the petitioner is left unredressed, he would be at liberty to raise the same in any other alternate remedy, but in accordance with law.

16.04.2024
parveen kumar

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No