

244

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-12489-2023 (O&M)
Date of decision: 12.11.2024

DARSHAN KUMAR

...Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI


Present:- Mr. Jasbir Mor, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Dimple Jain, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Piyush Bansal, Advocate
for respondents No.3 and 4.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

1. All the learned counsels for the parties are *ad idem* to the fact that the present writ petition may be disposed of with a direction to respondent No.5 to consider putting up the name of the petitioner on the portal of Haryana Kaushal Rozgar Nigam Limited (HKRNL). They referred to the reply filed on behalf of Haryana Kaushal Rozgar Nigam Limited (HKRNL), wherein it has been stated that the respondent-HKRNL has written to all the departments in the State of Haryana requesting them to post/upload the details of all the pending eligible manpower who were under engagement on or before 31.03.2022 and whose services are still required and who meet the eligibility criteria for the relevant job roles listed on the portal of HKRNL.



2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that as per the reply filed on behalf of respondents No.3 and 4, they have already recommended and sent the name of the petitioner to respondent No.5 and now respondent No.5 is to consider and do the needful in accordance with law.
3. Learned State counsel submitted that in case the name of the petitioner has been referred to respondent No.5 then the same will be considered in accordance with law and further action will be taken within a period of one month from today.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that in view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the present writ petition may be disposed of accordingly.
5. Disposed of accordingly.
6. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the respondent-Municipal Council has not released salary to the petitioner for the time he has already discharged the duties.
7. In this regard, liberty is granted to the petitioner to move a fresh representation to respondent No.4. In the event of petitioner doing so, respondent No.4 shall consider the same and pass an appropriate order within a period of one month thereafter. In case the petitioner is found to be entitled then salary be released to him, within a period of next one month.

12.11.2024
Chetan Thakur

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No