



CWP-12656-2024

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-12656-2024

Date of decision : 27.05.2024

Kairvi Singla

... Petitioner

Versus

Baba Farid University of Health Sciences, Faridkot and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr.Manu Loona, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Sahil, Advocate for
Mr.Nitin Kaushal, Advocate
for the respondents.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. This is a civil writ petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents No.1 and 2 to consider the request and conduct reassessment / rechecking / revaluation of the marks granted in the examination answer sheet of Forensic Medicine of the petitioner from another examiner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that for the grievances raised by the petitioner in the present writ petition, the petitioner had given representation dated 21.05.2024 (Annexure P-3) to respondent No.1 and he would be satisfied at this stage, in case, the competent authority



CWP-12656-2024

2

of respondent No.1 University is directed to consider the said representation dated 21.05.2024 (Annexure P-3) in a time bound manner and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious then to grant necessary relief in accordance with law.

3. Keeping in view the above said facts and circumstances, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of with direction to the competent authority of respondent No.1 University to consider representation dated 21.05.2024 (Annexure P-3) in accordance with law within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious then the competent authority would grant necessary relief, in accordance with law and in case, the competent authority is of the opinion that the pleas raised by the petitioner are not meritorious, then a speaking order rejecting the same be passed within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order.

4. This Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the competent authority of respondent No.1 University would consider the case of the petitioner independently, in accordance with law.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

May 27, 2024
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No