

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

212-2

CRM-M-26900-2024
Date of decision: 31.07.2024

JASWINDER SINGH AND ANRPetitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Akun Sheemar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI. J.(Oral)

1. On 24.05.2024, a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court, had passed the hereinafter extracted order, upon the instant petition:-

“Contends that petitioners were granted regular bail by learned trial Court, but subsequently, the offence under Section 307 IPC has been added on 09.04.2024.


Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Ms. Manjot Kaur, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and seeks time to have instructions in the matter.

Posted for 31.07.2024.

In the meanwhile, petitioners shall join investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of their arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit them to interim bail, till the next date of hearing, on furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioners shall also abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973. ”

2. Today, the learned State counsel has, on instructions imparted to him by Balvir Singh, stated that pursuant to the making of the hereinabove extracted



order, the petitioners have joined investigation and they are no longer required for custodial interrogation.

3. In view of the above, the hereinabove extracted interim order dated 24.05.2024, is hereby made **absolute**, subject to the hereinafter extracted conditions:-

- “(i) the petitioners shall not commit an offence similar to the present offence;
- (ii) the petitioners shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case;
- (iii) the petitioners shall make themselves available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.”

4. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioners indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

5. Needless to say that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

31.07.2024
amandeep

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.

:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable.

:

Yes/No