



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-28269-2024
Date of decision: 09.07.2024

AMARJIT SINGH AND OTHERSPetitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER ...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: - Mr. Mohit Vashishat, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Adesh Pal Singh, AAG Punjab.
Mr. Rajdeep Singh Chugh, Advocate for respondent No.2.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

1. By way of present petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, petitioners seek quashing of FIR (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 24.02.2024 (Annexure P-2) executed between the parties.

Details of the FIR are as follows:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
26	04.02.2023	323, 325, 506, 148 and 149 of IPC	Samrala, District Ludhiana

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise deed dated 24.02.2024 (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. Learned Additional Civil Judge (Sr. Division)-cum-SDJM, Samrala vide report dated 14.06.2024, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure. The



319

CRM-M-28269-2024

2

petitioners have also deposited cost of Rs.15,000/- in compliance of order dated 30.05.2024.

3. Respondent No. 2 is represented by her counsel and does not oppose the compromise.

4. In view of the report of the learned Additional Civil Judge (Sr. Division)-cum-SDJM, Samrala, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in "Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another", 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and "Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another", (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/ accused persons.

5. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 26 dated 04.02.2024 under Sections 323, 325, 506, 148 and 149 of IPC, registered at Police Station Samrala, District Ludhiana (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

09.07.2024

S.Sharma(syr)

i)	Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
ii)	Whether reportable?	Yes/No