



**CRM-29055-2024 and CRM-29056-2024
in/and CRM-M-27429-2024**

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

101+201

**CRM-29055-2024 and CRM-29056-2024
in/and CRM-M-27429-2024
Date of Decision: 22.07.2024**

CHETAN YADAV

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present:- Mr. S. K. Garg Narwana, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Vishal Garg Narwana, Advocate and
Mr. Nitin Sachdeva, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rohit Arya, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Gautam Dutt, Advocate with
Ms. Radhika Mehta, Advocate
for complainant.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (Oral)

This is third petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. seeking grant of regular bail in case FIR No.232 dated 19.05.2022, registered under Section 304-B and 34 IPC (Sections 406 and 498A read with 34 of IPC have been added later on) at Police Station Palam Vihar, District Gurugram.

2. The petitioner-husband was married to the complainant's sister on 18.02.2022. She had gone to her parental house on 05.05.2022 and committed suicide there on 19.05.2022, leading to lodging of the FIR in question. Final report under Section 173(2) Cr.P.C. was presented in Court on 07.10.2022 and charges were framed on 06.06.2023. The trial is progressing



**CRM-29055-2024 and CRM-29056-2024
in/and CRM-M-27429-2024**

-2-

at a slow pace, and only one prosecution witness, out of twenty-one, has been examined so far. One of the reasons for slow pace is presentation of supplementary report under Section 173(8) Cr.P.C. against two co-accused by the investigating agency. The petitioner is in custody since 23.05.2022.

3. These facts are not disputed by learned State counsel, who contends that the trial is fixed for 14.08.2024 and the remaining witnesses will be examined soon.

4. The submissions made by learned counsel for the parties have been considered.

5. Since the petitioner is in custody for two years and two months and conclusion of the trial will take time as only one prosecution witnesses has been examined so far, no useful purpose will be served by keeping him in custody any longer. No apprehension of his threatening the witnesses or tampering with the evidence has been expressed.

6. Accordingly, petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail subject to satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

7. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

**(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE**

22.07.2024

Ad

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No