

216

Date of Decision: September 27, 2024

.....Appellant

Vs.

.....Respondents

Present: Nonefor the appellant.

Mr. D.R. Bansal, Advocate
for respondent No.3-Ins. Company

SUDEEPTI SHARMA J. (ORAL)

This case pertains to the burnt category of the year 2006. On the last two consecutive dates of hearing, no one had put in appearance on behalf of the appellant. Today also, there is no representation on behalf of the appellant. It seems that the appellant is no more interested in pursuing the matter. This Court is left with no other option but to dismiss the present appeal for want of prosecution.

2. Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed for want of prosecution.

3. Liberty is reserved to the appellant to revive the appeal in case any cause of action survives.

4. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
JUDGE

September 27, 2024

sonia arora

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Speaking

Whether reportable:

Yes / No