

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

228

CWP-14298-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision: 13.08.2024

Anoop Kumar Saini

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Others

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : None for the petitioner

Mr. Dushyant Saharan, AAG Haryana

Mr. Davinder Singh, Advocate for respondent No.5

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. The grievance of the petitioner is of not considering the BCA degree as higher qualification, when in the advertisement, the requirement was only of higher secondary and knowledge of Windows based packages/applications.
2. As is averred in the petition, respondent No.5 (though wrongly mentioned therein as respondent No.4) had lesser merit and was still appointed, despite even the fact that petitioner had the higher qualification of BCA.
3. Learned counsel for State and for respondent No.5, while referring to their respective written statements, submit that he scored 65.55 marks, while petitioner 63.94 and possessed a degree of MCA as well, which remained uncontroverted as no replication has been filed thereto.
4. In view of the above, the present petition having no merit, is hereby dismissed.

13.08.2024

M.Kamra

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE