



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

126

CWP-12530-2024

Date of decision: 27.05.2024

AVTAR SINGH AND ANOTHER

...PETITIONERS

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB THROUGH DIRECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Ms. Vaibhav Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J (ORAL)

1. The petitioners through the instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India are seeking direction to respondents to appoint petitioner No. 1 to the post of Constable.

2. Petitioner No. 2 is a retired police official and claims that in 1992, while fighting with terrorist, he suffered two bullet injuries. He has retired and on account of his contribution at anti-terrorist front, his son (petitioner No. 1) should be appointed as Constable.

3. Petitioner No. 2 completed his tenure and retired on attaining the age of superannuation. He, after his retirement cannot be heard to say that his son should be appointed as Constable. If the contention of respondent is accepted, every officer despite completing his tenure would claim appointment for his children on account of his good conduct or contribution in anti-terrorist operations.



CWP-12530-2024

-2-

4. There is no substance in the claim of the petitioners. The petition being bereft of merit deserves to be dismissed.
5. Dismissed.

27.05.2024
manoj

[JAGMOHAN BANSAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No