

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

260

CRR-405-2016
Date of decision : 31.01.2024

Surender Singh Petitioner

versus

Sunil Kumar and others Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present: Mr. Tarun Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Atul Yadav, Advocate
for respondents No.1 to 7.

Mr. Ramesh Kumar Ambavta, AAG, Haryana
for respondent No.8.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (Oral)

1. Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the acquittal of the petitioner qua offence punishable under Section 324 of IPC is bad in law. In order to substantiate, he wants this Court to re-appreciate the evidence which is beyond the scope of Section 401 of IPC.
2. In view of above, this Court does not find any reason to interfere in the present petition. Resultantly, the same is dismissed.

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

31.01.2024
Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No