



**119 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-4901-2024
DATE OF DECISION: 27.05.2024**

**SHAKUNTALA DEVI AND OTHERS ...PETITIONERS
Versus
STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS ... RESPONDENTS**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL
Present: Mr. Aditya Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner(s).
Mr. Rajiv Verma, DAG, Punjab.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

This is a petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a direction to official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners by providing adequate protection to the petitioners and also directing the private respondents not to interfere in the personal life and liberty of the petitioners.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that he will be satisfied, in case a direction is issued to respondent No.2-The Senior Superintendent of Police, Landran Road, Sector 76, SAS Nagar to decide the complaint dated 09.04.2024 (Annexure P-6) within a time bound manner.
3. Without adverting to the merits of the case, the respondent No.2-The Senior Superintendent of Police, Landran Road, Sector 76, SAS Nagar is directed to consider and decide the representation dated 09.04.2024 (Annexure P-6) by passing a speaking order in accordance with law within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.
4. A copy of order so passed be supplied to the counsel for the petitioner within one week thereafter.
5. Petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

**(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE**

27.05.2024
anuradha

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether reportable Yes/No