



CWP-12848-2024

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-12848-2024**Date of decision: 28.05.2024**

Assets Care & Reconstruction Enterprises Ltd.,

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL**

Present: Mr. Abhinav Sood, Advocate, and
Ms. Achintaya Soni, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

A Mandamus is prayed for, commanding the respondent authorities to note down the petitioner's charge over plot/site No.D-163, PDA-Omaxe City, Patiala. Further, to issue an allotment letter in its favour and also to furnish details, as to the outstanding dues, if any, in relation to the said plot/site.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that prior to the institution of this petition, the petitioner had even served the Estate Officer (respondent No.3.) and the Chief Administrator, Patiala Planning & Development Authority (respondent No.2), with representations dated 09.01.2024 (P-20) and 10.04.2024 (P-21), respectively, as regards its concerns/grievances. But to no avail.

Served with the advance copy of the petition, Mr. Vipin Pal Yadav, learned Additional Advocate General, Punjab, is present in Court. At the outset, he, on instructions, submits that as the competent authority is already in seisin of the concerns/grievances of the petitioner, it would be expedient if the petition is disposed of, at this stage, to enable the respondent authorities to consider and pass necessary orders on its representations (*ibid*), in accordance with law. Further, he submits that before any such orders are passed, authorized representative(s) of the



petitioner shall be heard, for which, a formal communication shall be issued, well in advance. And the petitioner shall also be at liberty to furnish any fresh material/documents to support/supplement its claim within two weeks from today. Which shall be taken into consideration, while passing the orders, as indicated above.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is in agreement with the course suggested by learned State counsel and submits that let this petition be disposed of, in terms of the statement made by him. However, it is urged that the authorities be directed to do the needful, within a specified time.

In response, learned State counsel submits that appropriate orders shall be passed within a period of eight weeks from today.

The petition is accordingly disposed of, in terms of the statements made by learned counsel for the parties.

This Court is sanguine that the authorities shall look into the matter in the right earnest. And the appropriate orders shall be passed within the time indicated by learned State counsel.

Needless to assert that this order shall not constitute any expression of opinion on the merits of the case of either party, for, as indicated above, the competent authority shall examine the concerns/grievances of the petitioner, strictly in accordance with law.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

28.05.2024
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	Yes/No