



118

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

CRWP-4858-2024  
DATE OF DECISION: 27.05.2024

SUSHILA DEVI

...PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

... RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. S.K. Saini, Advocate for the petitioner(s).  
Mr. B.S.Virk, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

This is a petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a direction to official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner by providing adequate protection to the petitioner and also directing the private respondents not to interfere in the personal life and liberty of the petitioner.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he will be satisfied, in case a direction is issued to respondent No.3-The Superintendent of Police, Narnaund to decide the representation dated 15.05.2024 (Annexure P-9) within a time bound manner.

3. Without adverting to the merits of the case, the respondent No.3 is directed to consider and decide the representation dated 15.05.2024 (Annexure P-9) by passing a speaking order in accordance with law within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. A copy of order so passed be supplied to the counsel for the petitioner within one week thereafter.

5. Petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)  
JUDGE

27.05.2024

anuradha

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No