



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

215

CRM-M-27536-2024

Date of Decision : August 20, 2024

PARMINDER S. DHILLON ALIAS PARVINDER SINGH

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. H.S.Multani, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)

1. Short reply dated 19.8.2024 by way of an affidavit of Dharamvir Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub-Division Kharar-2, District SAS Nagar (Mohali), has been filed, the same is taken on record.
2. On 28.5.2024, a co-ordinate Bench of this Court had passed the hereinafter extracted order, upon the instant petition:-

“Apprehending his arrest in FIR No.30 dated 06.06.2018, registered under Sections 406/420/120-B IPC at Police Station Mullanpur, District SAS Nagar petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail.

Learned counsel for the petitioner refers to Annexure P-5 to submit that an amount of Rs.6,25,000/- already stands returned by way of demand draft in favour of Satwant Randhawa yet the pre-arrest bail of the petitioner has been rejected only on the ground that recovery of the said amount is yet to be effected.

Notice of motion for 20.08.2024

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Sr. DAG, Punjab appears and accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

In the meantime, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal and surety



CRM-M-27536-2024

-2-

bonds to the satisfaction of the arresting officer/Investigating Officer. As and when called, the petitioner shall join the investigation. He shall abide by the conditions enumerated under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.”

3. Today, the learned State counsel has, on instructions imparted to him by the official concerned, stated that pursuant to the making of the hereinabove extracted order, the petitioner(s) had joined investigation and he is no longer required for custodial interrogation.

4. In view of the above, the hereinabove extracted interim order dated 28.5.2024, is hereby made **absolute**, subject to the hereinafter extracted conditions:-

“(i) the petitioner(s) shall not commit an offence similar to the present offence;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall make himself/herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.”

5. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner(s) indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

6. Needless to say that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

August 20, 2024
ajay-1

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No