



IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH

244

CWP-12387-2023

Date of Decision: 16.05.2024

SANDEEP SAHU

... Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present: Ms. Priya Mehta, Advocate for
Mr. Naveen Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pankaj Mulwani, DAG, Haryana
for respondents No.1 to 3.

None for respondent No.4.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

Challenge in the present petition is to the order dated 05.05.2023 passed by the Local Complaint Committee in the inquiry proceedings initiated against the petitioner on the complaint submitted by his wife-respondent No.4 under the provisions of The Sexual Harassment of Women at Workplace (Prevention, Prohibition and Redressal) Act, 2013.

Counsel for the petitioner contends that she has raised an objection qua the maintainability of the complaint at the behest of the wife under The Sexual Harassment of Women at Workplace (Prevention, Prohibition and Redressal) Act, 2013. She further informs that the Local Complaint Committee has already dismissed the application/complaint submitted by respondent No.4 and that so far as the grievance in relation to the complaint is concerned, the same has already been redressed but her question of law still remains open.

2024-PHHC-069460



CWP-12387-2023

-2-

Taking into consideration that the issue regarding decision on the question of law is only academic at this juncture and may not serve any real purpose so far as the petition is concerned, the present petition is accordingly disposed of as having been rendered infructuous at this stage, while leaving the question of law open for adjudication in an appropriate case.

MAY 16, 2024
rajender

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*

Whether reportable : *Yes/No*