



121

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-12504-2024
Date of decision: 24.05.2024

SRPA Adarsh Bhartiya College, Pathankot ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Vipin Mahajan, Advocate and
Ms. Chandanpreet Kaur Ahluwalia, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. TPS Walia, AAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari, mandamus for quashing the order dated 13.11.2015 (Annexure P-6) and further directing respondent Nos.1 and 2 to reconsider and revisit their earlier decision to appoint Administrator of the petitioner-College vide order dated 13.11.2015 as the Administrator is working for the last nine years.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the Administrator in the present case is continuing for the last nine years and has submitted that as per settled law, the Administrator can be appointed only for a limited period of time. It is further submitted that the position of the school has further deteriorated and that the petitioner had given a legal notice dated 11.10.2023 (Annexure P-14) and would be satisfied at this stage, in case, the competent authority of respondent No.1-State is directed to consider the said



legal notice dated 11.10.2023 (Annexure P-14) in a time bound manner and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious, then, to grant necessary relief, in accordance with law.

3. Learned State Counsel has submitted that the competent authority of respondent No.1-State would consider the said legal notice dated 11.10.2023 (Annexure P-14), in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order.

4. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of with direction to the competent authority of respondent No.1-State to consider legal notice dated 11.10.2023 (Annexure P-14), in accordance with law, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious, then, the competent authority would grant necessary relief, in accordance with law and in case, the competent authority is of the opinion that the pleas raised by the petitioner are not meritorious, then, a speaking order rejecting the same be passed within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order.

5. This Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the competent authority of respondent No.1-State would consider the case of the petitioner independently, in accordance with law.

24.05.2024*Pawan***(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE****Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No
Whether reportable:- Yes/No**