



CRM-M-26816-2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

130

CRM-M-26816-2024
Decided on: 24.05.2024

Vikash Bansal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Monish Mittal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajat Gautam, Addl. AG, Haryana.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
186	22.06.2022	Sector 40, Gurugram, District Gurugram	420, 467, 468, 471 IPC (Sections 120B IPC & 73/66B of IT Act added later on)

1. Seeking quashing of the above captioned FIR, the petitioner has come up before this Court by filing the present petition under Section 482 CrPC.
2. After arguing for some time and facing the strict opposition from the State of Haryana, counsel for the petitioner wants to withdraw the present petition with a prayer that he be permitted to file a discharge application before the trial Court and the said Court be directed to answer each and every point so raised by him in the said application.
3. Given above, petitioner is permitted to file a precise application for discharge, but such application should not be unnecessarily lengthy and if such application is filed in that case, the concerned Court shall decide the same by passing a reasoned and



CRM-M-26816-2024

speaking order and shall answer to each and every point taken up in the said application.
Liberty further reserved to challenge the order of charges, if framed.

4. Petition is disposed of in the terms mentioned above. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

24.05.2024
anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether reportable:	No.