



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-27174-2024
Date of decision: 02.07.2024

DEVINDER SINGH AND OTHERS
....Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER
....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: - Mr. Vikas Gupta, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Eklavya Darshi, DAG, Punjab.
Mr. Vikasdeep Singh, Advocate for respondent No.2.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, petitioners seek quashing of DDR (Annexure P-2) registered in FIR No.353 dated 19.09.2014 under Sections 323, 324, 380, 506, 148 and 149 IPC at Police Station City Tarn Taran, District Tarn Taran and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 20.04.2024 (Annexure P-3) executed between the parties. Details of the DDR are as follows:

DDR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
58	19.09.2014	323, 324 and 34 of IPC	City Tarn Taran, District Tarn Taran

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise deed dated 20.04.2024 (Annexure P-3), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the



273-2

CRM-M-27174-2024

2

parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. Chief Judicial Magistrate, Tarn Taran, vide report dated 28.06.2024, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure. The petitioners have also deposited cost of Rs.10,000/- in compliance of order dated 28.05.2024.

3. Respondent No. 2 is represented by his counsel and does not oppose the compromise.

4. In view of the report of the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Tarn Taran and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in "**Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another**", 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and "**Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another**", (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/accused persons.

5. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. DDR No. 58 dated 19.09.2014 under Sections 323, 324 and 34 of IPC, registered at Police Station City Tarn Taran, District Tarn Taran (Annexure P-2) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

02.07.2024

S.Sharma(syr)

i) Whether speaking/reasoned?

Yes/No

ii) Whether reportable?

Yes/No