



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

232

CRM-M-26934-2024

Date of decision : 31.05.2024

SACHIN @ DARA

...PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present : Mr. Sarvesh Malik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Sidhu, DAG, Haryana.

HARKESH MANUJA.J. (ORAL)

1. By way of present petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C., prayer has been made for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.421 dated 04.12.2022, registered under Sections 148, 149, 323, 326, 307, 506 and 120-B IPC at Police Station Sadar, Rohtak, wherein the petitioner has been implicated with the allegations of having beaten the younger brother of the complainant Akash with the help of his other friends.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. As per the allegations, the petitioner was not even present at the spot but with the aide of Section 120-B IPC, he is involved in the present case. Co-accused namely Manjeet @ Languri, Jaideep, Sahil @ Bhanja alias Jhabbar and Ombir alias Dhing, have already been granted the concession of regular bail vide orders dated 20.12.2023, 08.01.2024 and 24.01.2024 respectively.



3. The prayer made on behalf of the petitioner has been opposed by learned State counsel while submitting that the petitioner is involved in three other criminal cases.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and gone through the paper book. I find substance in the submissions made on behalf of the petitioner.

5. Considering the fact that the investigation in the present case already stands concluded with the filing of challan, the petitioner being 28 years of age who is behind bars for the last almost 4 months and taking note of the fact that the trial is likely to take some time, besides, considering the allegations made in the FIR, I do not find any reason to extend the incarceration of the petitioner any further.

6. Without commenting anything on the merits of the case, lest it may prejudice the trial, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing adequate bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the concerned learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

7. It is made clear that this order may not be construed as expression of an opinion on the merits of the case.

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

31.05.2024
monika

Whether speaking/reasoned ? Yes/No
Whether Reportable ? Yes/No