

investigation and further, learned counsel seeks liberty to raise all the pleas taken in the present petition before the learned trial Court, at the appropriate stage during the trial. However, he confines his prayer to the extent that attending the trial on each and every date would cause great inconvenience and hardship to the petitioners and also affect their livelihood.

5. Interim protection granted vide order dated 29.05.2019 is made absolute. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions enumerated in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

6. The instant petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty as prayed for. However, in view of the ratio laid down by this Court in *CRM-M-25963-2023* titled as ‘Suresh Kumar and another Vs. The State of Haryana and another’ 2023 (2) Law Herald 1498, the personal appearance of the petitioners before the learned trial Court is ordered to be exempted, subject to the following conditions:-

- i) petitioners shall be represented through their counsel;
- ii) shall not delay/stall the trial proceedings;
- iii) shall not dispute their identity as accused;
- iv) shall have no objection if the prosecution evidence is recorded in their absence but in the presence of their counsel;
- v) shall appear before the trial Court as and when required; and
- vi) any other condition, which the trial Court may impose.

April 09, 2024
manisha

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|------|---------------------------|--------|
| (i) | Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| (ii) | Whether reportable | Yes/No |