



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CR No.3197 of 2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 03.07.2024

Gumas @ Gumas Devi

.....Revisionist-Petitioner.

Versus

Parmil

.....Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present:- Mr. Sanjiv Gupta and Mr. Naveen Jhajholia, Advocates
for the revisionist-petitioner.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (Oral)

By filing the instant revision-petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner-plaintiff (here-in-after to be referred as 'the plaintiff') has sought the indulgence of this Court for the issuance of direction to learned Civil Judge (Senior Division), Gurugram (for short 'the trial Court') to decide the injunction application (Annexure P-4), as filed by her in *Civil Suit No.1319 of 2019* titled as '*Gumas versus Parmil*' and also to grant the relief of injunction by restraining the respondent-defendant from raising construction in the property in dispute.

2. It is worth-while to mention here that at the time of assisting the Court at the preliminary stage in the present revision-petition, learned counsel for the plaintiff have restricted their prayer only to extent of issuing the direction to the trial Court to decide the above-referred injunction application at the earliest possible.



3. Keeping in view the afore-discussed limited prayer as made by learned counsel for the plaintiff and also the fact that the above-said injunction application is stated to be pending adjudication for the last 04 (four) years and without commenting or expressing any opinion on the merits of the afore-referred application and the Civil Suit as well, the revision-petition in hand is, hereby, disposed of with the direction to the concerned trial Court to decide the injunction application under reference, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible.

July 03, 2024
Yag Dutt

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether Reportable: No