



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-12609-2024(O&M)
Date of decision: 27.05.2024

Lala Ram ... Petitioner
Versus
State of Haryana and others ... Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Bhuwnesh Lakhera, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. AG, Haryana, and
Mr. Karan Jindal, Asstt. AG, Haryana.
Mr. Ankur Mittal, Advocate,
Ms. Kushaldeep Kaur, Advocate, and
Ms. Saanvi Singla, Advocate, for the respondent(s)-HSVP.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Served with the advance copy of the petition, learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana submits that in the wake of the decision of the Full Bench of this Court in Rajiv Manchanda and others v. Haryana Urban Development Authority and another, 2018 AIR CC 3279, the claim of the petitioner for allotment of a suitable site/plot under the oustees quota would be considered as and when any fresh advertisement is issued, and the petitioner applies pursuant thereto.

That being so, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he be permitted to withdraw the petition, for, the petitioner would apply for allotment and move the necessary application as and when the advertisement is issued.

The petition is accordingly dismissed as withdrawn.

(Arun Palli)
Judge

(Vikram Aggarwal)
Judge

27.05.2024
Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned: YES/NO
Whether Reportable: YES/NO