



245

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR-348-2016 (O&M)  
DECIDED ON:28.10.2024

ASHA GARRO

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

NEHA SHYAL

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Jagjit Singh, Advocate for  
Mr. Kunal Dawar, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*\*

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

As per office report, dasti notices issued to respondent has not been received back either served or otherwise.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he has no instructions and there is no other address of the respondent is available with the petitioner except the one given in the present petition.

In view of the above, this Court is left with no other option to dismiss the petition for want of prosecution.

However, the petitioner would be at liberty to revive the same as and when the correct address of the respondent would be available with her.

Ordered accordingly.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)  
JUDGE

28.10.2024

Sham

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No  
Whether reportable Yes/No