



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

211 CRM-M-27309-2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 31.05.2024

AMANDEEP SINGHPetitioner
Vs.

STATE OF PUNJABRespondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present: Mr. Amrit Singh Kang, Advocate for the petitioner.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is in custody for the last more than 03 years and 04 months.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Learned State counsel opposes the petition by submitting that recovery of 90,000 Tramadol Hydrochloride tablets, which is 8971 grams and 35 times more than threshold from which commercial category starts, was recovered from the petitioner. Learned State counsel also informs that out of 11 witnesses cited by the prosecution, 08 have been examined.

Having noticed the aforesaid facts, this Court is not inclined to grant benefit of bail to the petitioner. However, the trial Court is directed to make every possible endeavour to expedite the trial, considering the custody period of the petitioner. The present petition is disposed of.

31.05.2024 (DEEPAK GUPTA)
pry JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No