

101 RA-CW-281-2024 in CWP-20713-2015

M/S DAYA NAND SHRI KISHAN AND OTHERS VS STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

Present :- Mr. C.S. Jattana, Advocate for the applicants-petitioners.

Mr. Anil Chawla, Advocate for respondents No.2 to 4

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the applicants-petitioners *inter-alia* contends that the statutory remedy of appeal under Section 40 of the Haryana Agricultural Produce Markets Act, 1961 is not available. However, he fails to controvert that the respondents had taken specific objection with respect to the alternative remedy of appeal and that the respondents have nowhere refused to entertain an appeal. There is also nothing on record to hold that the order under challenge is not under the provision of the Haryana Agricultural Produce Markets Act, 1961, even though the same may not be specifically mentioned. He could not point out any latent and patent error in the order passed by this court.

In view thereof I find reconsideration of the petition under the garb of review is impermissible, same is accordingly dismissed.

02.08.2024

Mangal Singh

**(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE**