



CWP-12695-2024 1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(109)

CWP-12695-2024

Date of Decision : May 28, 2024

Naseeb and others

.. Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Vikas Sangwan, Advocate and
Ms. Monika Sangwan, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Harish Nain, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. In the present writ petition, the claim of the petitioners is that their claim for the grant of benefit of appointment, as being claimed in the present petition, is covered by the judgment of this Court passed in ***CWP No.14591 of 2023 titled as Amit Kumar and others vs. State of Haryana and others, decided on 16.12.2023 (Annexure P-6)*** hence, the respondents are liable to grant the said benefit to the petitioners also.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have already given a representation dated 24.02.2024 (Annexure P-7) to the respondents and the petitioners will be satisfied at this state in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said representation by passing an appropriate speaking order.

**CWP-12695-2024 2**

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

5. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the representation has been given to another Department where the petitioners are not working whereas the petitioners should have submitted the representation to the Department concerned from which the relief is being sought and in case, any such claim is received from the petitioners by the Department concerned, the same will be considered and appropriate order will be passed within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of any such claim by the Department concerned and in case, it is found that the petitioners are entitled for the relief, the same will be granted to them otherwise due reasons will be mentioned in the speaking order for not accepting the claim of the petitioners for their information and necessary action.

6. Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioners to file a representation with the Department concerned raising the grievance for the grant of relief as extended to the petitioners in *Amit Kumar's case (supra)*.

7. Ordered accordingly.

May 28, 2024*harsha***(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)****JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No