



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CR No.3277 of 2024
Date of Decision: 28.05.2024

Sandeep Kaur
...Revisionist-Petitioner

Versus

Manohar Singh and others
...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present:- Ms. Mona Goyal, Advocate
for the revisionist-petitioner.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (Oral)

By filing the instant revision-petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner-plaintiff has sought the indulgence of this Court for the issuance of the direction to learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Bathinda (for short 'the trial Court') to decide the application (Annexure P-1) filed by her under Order 39 Rules 1 & 2 read with Section 151 CPC for seeking the relief of *ad-interim* injunction in the Civil Suit bearing **CIS No.INDIG-3 of 2020** titled as '**Sandeep Kaur vs. Manohar Singh and others**' expeditiously, while averring that the above-referred application had been filed in July, 2020 but it has not yet been decided.

2. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner-plaintiff in the present revision-petition, at the preliminary stage and have gone through the file carefully.



3. Keeping in view the afore-discussed limited prayer as made by the petitioner in the instant revision-petition and without commenting or expressing any opinion on the merits of the above-mentioned application, the revision-petition in hand is, hereby, disposed of with the direction to the concerned trial Court to decide the application under reference, in accordance with law, at the earliest possible.

28.05.2024
neetu

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes*
Whether Reportable: *No*