



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

223

FAO-2969-2007
Date of Decision: September 20, 2024

Rajpati and othersAppellants

Vs.

Rishipal and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA

Present: None for the appellants.
Ms. Shamsher Kaur, Advocate for the Insurance Company.

SUDEEPTI SHARMA J. (ORAL)

This case pertains to the burnt category of the year 2007. No one had put in appearance on behalf of the appellants on the last date of hearing. Today also, despite service there is no representation on behalf of the appellants. It seems that the appellants are no more interested in pursuing the matter. This Court is left with no other option but to dismiss the present appeal for want of prosecution.

- 2. Accordingly, the present appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution.
- 3. Liberty is reserved to the parties to revive the appeal in case any cause of action survives.
- 4. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
JUDGE

September 20, 2024
sonia arora

Whether speaking/reasoned: Speaking
Whether reportable: Yes / No